Case 1:15-cr-00109-AT-JSA Document 485 Filed 11/16/23 Page 1 of 3 USCA11 Case: 23-11489 Document: 14-1 Date Filed: 11/16/2023 Page: 1 of 1

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

ELBERT PARR TUTTLE COURT OF APPEALS BUILDING 56 Forsyth Street, N.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30303

David J. Smith Clerk of Court For rules and forms visit www.call.uscourts.gov

November 16, 2023

Clerk - Northern District of Georgia Richard B. Russell Bldg & US Courthouse 2211 UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE 75 TED TURNER DR SW STE 2211 ATLANTA, GA 30303-3309

Appeal Number: 23-11489-D Case Style: Ryan Hill v. USA

District Court Docket No: 1:19-cv-02608-AT

Secondary Case Number: 1:15-cr-00109-AT-JSA-3

The enclosed copy of this Court's order denying the application for a Certificate of Appealability is issued as the mandate of this court. <u>See</u> 11th Cir. R. 41-4. Counsel and pro se parties are advised that pursuant to 11th Cir. R. 27-2, "a motion to reconsider, vacate, or modify an order must be filed within 21 days of the entry of such order. No additional time shall be allowed for mailing."

Any pending motions are now rendered moot in light of the attached order.

## Clerk's Office Phone Numbers

General Information: 404-335-6100 Attorney Admissions: 404-335-6122 Case Administration: 404-335-6135 Capital Cases: 404-335-6200 CM/ECF Help Desk: 404-335-6125 Cases Set for Oral Argument: 404-335-6141

Enclosure(s)

DIS-4 Multi-purpose dismissal letter

Case 1:15-cr-00109-AT-JSA Document 485 Filed 11/16/23 Page 2 of 3 

## In the

~~~~~~
United States Court of Appeals
Har the Fleventh Circuit
No. 23-11489
RYAN VINCENT HILL,
Petitioner-Appellant,
versus
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Respondent-Appellee.
Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Georgia
D.C. Docket No. 1:19-cv-02608-AT
ORDER

ORDER:

Order of the Court

2

23-11489

Ryan Hill moves for a certificate of appealability ("COA") in order to appeal the denial of his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion. To merit a COA, a movant must show that reasonable jurists would find debatable both (1) the merits of an underlying claim, and (2) the procedural issues that he seeks to raise. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484-85 (2000). Because Hill has failed to make the requisite showing, his motion for a COA is DENIED.

/s/ Robert J. Luck

UNITED STATES CIRCUIT JUDGE